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1 Introduction

Furst and Gross [1985] have introduced a hierarchy of genus-respecting partitions of the set of imbeddings of a graph into a closed, oriented surface. This paper contains an illustration of a direct calculation of the genus distribution for every member of an infinite class of graphs called "closed-end ladders". It also contains an illustration of the use of a slightly finer partition in order to obtain the genus distribution for every member of another infinite class of graphs, which are called "cobblestone paths".

The choice of terminology here reflects the usual sensitivities of topological graph theory. For instance, a graph may have multiple adjacencies or self-adjacencies. It is taken to be connected, unless one can readily infer otherwise from the immediate context.

The closed orientable surface of genus \( i \) is denoted \( S_i \). An imbedding means a polygonal imbedding into a closed, oriented surface. Two imbeddings into the same surface are equivalent if one can be continuously deformed onto the other. When we say we are "counting the number of imbeddings," we are actually counting the number of equivalence classes of imbeddings.

The size of a face of an imbedding means the number of edge-traversals needed to complete a tour of the face boundary. If both orientations of the same edge appear on the boundary of the same face, then that edge is counted twice in a boundary tour.

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the elements of topology and graph theory, at the level of White [1973]. However, we shall briefly review the relationship between rotation systems and graph imbeddings, which is described in Section 6.6 of White [1973] in slightly different terminology and somewhat reduced generality.

A rotation at a vertex is a cyclic permutation of the edges incident on it, in which the two ends of a self-adjacency are considered separately. Thus, if a vertex has valence \( d \), there are \( (d - 1)! \) possible rotations there.

A rotation system for a graph is an assignment of a rotation to each vertex. If a graph has vertices \( v_1, \ldots, v_n \) of respective valences \( d_1, \ldots, d_n \),
then the total number of rotation systems is

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - 1)! \]

A research abstract of Edmonds [1960] called explicit attention to a bijective correspondence between the set of imbeddings of a graph \( G \) and the set of rotation systems. (The correspondence seems to be implicit in the pioneering work of Heffter [1891].) It follows that the total number of imbeddings of a graph is the same as its number of rotation systems. Details for the simplicial case (i.e. without self-adjacencies on multiple adjacencies) were first given by Youngs [1963]. A generalization to the non-simplicial case was developed by Gross and Alpert [1974].

The bijective correspondence is realized if one considers the permutation action of the rotation system on the set of oriented edges. If \( e \) is an oriented edge from vertex \( u \) to vertex \( v \), this action permutes \( e \) to the reverse of the oriented edge that follows \( e \) in the rotation at \( v \). The edge-orbits of this action are taken to be the face-boundaries of an imbedding.
2 Closed-end ladders

Imagine that rounded pieces of material are used to close both ends of an $n$-rung ladder. A mathematical model of this object may be obtained by taking the graphical cartesian product of the $n$-vertex path $P_n$ with the complete graph $K_2$ and then doubling both its end edges. We call the resulting graph an $n$-rung closed-end ladder and denote it $L_n$ herein. Figure 2.1 depicts a closed-end ladder.

![Closed-end ladder diagram](image)

Figure 2.1 The 3-rung closed-end ladder $L_3$.

The horizontal edges are said to form "sides" of the ladder. The two curved edges are called "ends" or "end-rungs".

Ladder-like graphs played an extensive role in the solution by Ringel and Youngs [1968] to the Heawood Map-Coloring Problem (see Ringel [1974]). In fact, we shall use the picture method of Gustin [1963], so important to that solution, to specify every rotation system — and accordingly, every imbedding — of a ladder graph. We note that a trivalent vertex has only two rotations.

If the vertex is drawn solid, the rotation is counterclockwise. If the vertex is drawn hollow, then the rotation is clockwise. Figure 2.2 shows a rotation system for a 4-rung ladder and its two edge-orbits, one dotted, the other dashed.

The graph $L_4$ has 8 vertices and 12 edges. The imbedding depicted has two faces (one for each edge-orbit). Substitutions on the right side of the
Figure 2.2 A rotation system for the 4-rung ladder $L_4$ and its two associated edge-orbits.

Euler polyhedral equation

$$2 - 2\gamma = V - E + F$$

yields the equation

$$2 - 2\gamma = 8 - 12 + 2 = -2$$

from which we infer that the imbedding surface associated with Figure 2.2 has genus $\gamma = 2$.

If both endpoints of a rung are solid, or if both are hollow, or if the rung is an end-rung, we call it a matched rung (in the given rotation system). Otherwise we call it an unmatched rung. Thus, Figure 2.2 has three matched rungs.

Two matched rungs are said to be consecutive matched rungs if no other matched rungs lie between them. Two consecutive matched rungs are said to be evenly separated if the number of interposing unmatched rungs is even (including zero). Thus, the left end-rung of Figure 2.2 is evenly separated from the doubly hollow matched rung, but the doubly hollow matched rung...
rung is oddly separated from the right end-rung. Thus, the number of edge-orbits (two) is one more than the number of evenly separated pairs (one) of consecutive matched rungs. We generalize this observation about Figure 2.2.

**Lemma 2.1** The number of edge-orbits induced by a rotation system for a closed-end ladder \( L_m \) equals one plus the number of evenly separated pairs of consecutive matched rungs.

**Proof:** Suppose that the total number of matched rungs is \( m \). Let us begin by considering any rotation system of the ladder \( L_m \) such that every rung is matched. It is not difficult to verify that such a rotation system has \( m + 1 \) edge-orbits, and that three different edge-orbits are incident on each vertex. (The aid of a few drawings is highly recommended.)

The rest of this proof is concerned with the effect of inserting a string of unmatched rungs between two matched rungs.

Tracing the orbit lines in Figure 2.3 is sufficient to demonstrate that whenever a 2-string of similar unmatched rungs is inserted between two arbitrary rungs, there is no effect on the number of edge-orbits.

It follows that when we insert strings of unmatched rungs into the ladder \( L_m \), we may as well assume that consecutive unmatched rungs are dissimilar. Let's call this an *alternating string of unmatched rungs*.

Tracing the edge-orbits in Figure 2.4 indicates that inserting an alternating 3-string of unmatched rungs between any two kinds of rungs has the same effect as inserting only the middle rung of the string.

By combining the observation about alternating 3-strings with the observation about 2-strings of similar matched rungs, we may infer that the effect of inserting any odd-length string of unmatched rungs is the same as inserting one unmatched rung. Similarly, we may infer that the effect of inserting any even-length string of unmatched rungs is the same as inserting either a 2-string of dissimilar unmatched rungs or no rungs at all.

In order to insert a single unmatched rung between two matched rungs, we proceed in two stages. First, we insert a matched rung, which increases the number of edge-orbits by one. We observe that each endpoint of the new rung is incident on three distinct edge-orbits. Thus, when the rotation at one end of the new rung is reversed (i.e. this is stage two), its three
edge-orbits become one orbit, for a reduction by two. The net effect of inserting the unmatched rung is a decrease of one edge-orbit.

Another edge-tracing argument confirms that inserting an alternating pair of unmatched rungs between two consecutive matched rungs causes no net change in the number of edge orbits.

QED

Lemma 2.1 enables us to complete the derivation of the genus distribution of ladders by straightforward enumerative techniques. We employ two auxiliary expressions in what follows. One is $s(n, m, k)$, which stands for the number of rotation systems for the ladder $L_n$ that have $m$ matched non-end rungs, of which $k$ pairs are evenly separated. The other is $b(p, q, r)$, which stands for the number of ways to put $p$ identical balls into $q$ distinct boxes, so that exactly $r$ boxes have an even number of balls.

To obtain a combinatorial expression for $b(p, q, r)$, we imagine that one ball is placed into each of the $q - r$ odd boxes and that the remaining $p - q + r$
Figure 2.4 The equivalence between inserting an alternating 3-string of unmatched rungs and inserting only the middle rung of the string.

Balls are then distributed in pairs into the $q$ boxes. Thus,

$$b(p, q, r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p - q + r \text{ is odd,} \\ \binom{q}{q-r} \cdot \binom{(p-q+r)/2+q-1}{q-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

or, equivalently,

$$b(p, q, r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p - q - r \text{ is odd,} \\ \binom{q}{q-r} \cdot \binom{(p-q+r)/2+q-1}{q-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In order to analyze $s(n, m, k)$ we imagine that the $n - m$ unmatched non-end rungs are to be inserted into the $m + 1$ distinct boxes formed along the ladder $L_n$ by the $m$ matched rungs, so that the $k$ boxes are filled with evenly many unmatched rungs. Clearly we have

$$s(n, m, k) = 2^n b(n - m, m + 1, k) \quad (2)$$

If $n \equiv k \pmod{2}$, then $(n - m) - (m + 1) + k$ is odd, from which it follows that $s(m, n, k) = 0$. However, if $n \not\equiv k \pmod{2}$, then we combine
equations 1 and 2 to obtain
\[ s(n, m, k) = 2^n \binom{m + 1}{k} \binom{(n + k - 1)/2}{m} \]  
\hspace{1cm} (3)

We now define \( f(n, k) \) to be the number of imbeddings of the ladder graph \( L_n \) that have \( k \) faces. According to Lemma 2.1, we have
\[ f(n, k) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} s(n, m, k - 1) \]  
\hspace{1cm} (4)

Using equation 3, we transform equation 4 into
\[ f(n, k) = 2^n \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{m + k}{k - 1} \binom{(n + k - 2)/2}{m} \]  
\hspace{1cm} (5)

or, equivalently
\[ f(n, k) = 2^n \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{m + k}{k - 1} \binom{(n + k)/2 - 1}{m} \]  
\hspace{1cm} (6)

Using the combinatorial identity
\[ \binom{m + k}{k - 1} = \binom{m}{k - 1} + \binom{m}{k - 2} \]  
\hspace{1cm} (7)

we convert equation 6 into
\[ f(n, k) = 2^n \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left[ \binom{m}{k - 1} + \binom{m}{k - 2} \right] \binom{(n + k)/2 - 1}{m} \]  
\hspace{1cm} (8)

which separates, in turn, into the form
\[ f(n, k) = 2^n \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{m}{k - 1} \binom{(n + k)/2 - 1}{m} + 2^n \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{m}{k - 2} \binom{(n + k)/2 - 1}{m} \]  
\hspace{1cm} (9)

The combinatorial identity
\[ \sum_{q=0}^{p} \binom{q}{r} \binom{p}{q} = \binom{p}{r} 2^{p-r} \]
enables us to determine from equation 9 that

\[ f(n, k) = 2^n \left[ \binom{(n + k)/2 - 1}{k - 1} 2^{(n-k)/2} + \binom{(n + k)/2 - 1}{k - 2} 2^{(n-k)/2+1} \right] \] (10)

Therefore, we may infer

\[ f(n, k) = 2^{(3n-k)/2} \left[ \binom{(n + k)/2 - 1}{k - 1} + 2 \binom{(n + k)/2 - 1}{k - 2} \right] \] (11)

We now reuse the combinatorial identity 7 to obtain

\[ f(n, k) = 2^{(3n-k)/2} \left[ \binom{(n + k)/2}{k - 1} + \binom{(n + k)/2 - 1}{k - 2} \right] \] (12)

The combinatorial identity

\[ \binom{p - 1}{q - 1} = \binom{p}{q} \frac{q}{p} \]

implies that

\[ \binom{(n + k)/2 - 1}{k - 2} = \binom{(n + k)/2}{k - 1} \frac{2(k - 1)}{(n + k)} \]

This allows us to simplify equation 12 to conclude

\[ f(n, k) = 2^{(3n-k)/2} \left( \binom{(n + k)/2}{k - 1} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2k - 2}{n + k} \right) \] (13)

whenever \( n \equiv k \) (mod 2). Otherwise, \( f(n, k) = 0 \).

In order to convert the face-count formula in equation 13 into a genus distribution formula, we use the Euler polyhedral equation in the form

\[ 2 - 2i = \#V(L_n) - \#E(L_n) + \#F(L_n \to S_i) \]
\[ = 2n - 3n + k \]

Thus, when the genus of the imbedding surface is equal to the number \( i \), the number of faces is

\[ k = n + 2 - 2i \]
Let $g_i(L_n)$ denote the number of imbeddings of the ladder $L_n$ in the surface $S_i$. It follows that

$$g_i(L_n) = f(n, n + 2 - 2i) \quad (14)$$

When we apply equation 13 to the right-side of equation 14, we obtain the equation

$$g_i(L_n) = 2^{[3n-(n+2-2i)]/2} \left( \frac{n + (n + 2 - 2i)/2}{n + 2 - 2i} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2(n + 2 - 2i) - 2}{n + (n + 2 - 2i)} \right)$$

This simplifies routinely to

$$g_i(L_n) = 2^{n-1+i} \binom{n + 1 - i}{n + 1 - 2i} \frac{2n + 2 - 3i}{n + 1 - i}$$

and, since $\binom{n}{a-b} = \binom{n}{a}$, we have

$$g_i(L_n) = 2^{n-1+i} \binom{n + 1 - i}{i} \frac{2n + 2 - 3i}{n + 1 - i} \quad (15)$$

The following table shows the genus distribution for small values of $n$ and $i$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$g_0$</th>
<th>$g_1$</th>
<th>$g_2$</th>
<th>$g_3$</th>
<th>$g_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_1$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_2$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_3$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_4$</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_5$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3 Cobblestone paths

Suppose that every edge of the $n$-vertex path $P_n$ is doubled, and that a self-adjacency is then added at each end. Figure 3.1 shows how the resulting graph might be drawn. It seems appropriate to dub this graph a cobblestone path. We denote it $J_n$ herein.

![Diagram of a cobblestone path $J_4$.](image)

Figure 3.1 The cobblestone path $J_4$.

For any connected graph $G$, and for $i = 0, 1, \ldots$, let $g_i(G)$ be the number of imbeddings of $G$ into the closed orientable surface $S_i$. We may regard the genus distribution for $G$ as a vector

$$(g_0(G), g_1(G), g_2(G), \ldots)$$

Obviously, only finitely many entries are non-zero.

Our objective is to calculate all the numbers $g_i(J_n)$, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots$, and for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Sometimes we abbreviate $g_i(J_n)$ by $g_{i,n}$ herein.
The recursion construction assures that we have a cobblestone path $J_{n-1}$ positioned horizontally, as in Figure 3.1. The subsequent cobblestone path $J_n$ is obtained by first imposing a new vertex at the middle of the right end loop and then attaching a new right end loop at the new vertex.

To establish a recursion formula, it is necessary to distinguish between two kinds of imbeddings of the cobblestone path $J_{n-1}$, depending on whether the two occurrences of the right end edge lie on two distinct faces or on the same face. For $i = 0, 1, \ldots$ and for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ we define $d_i(J_n)$, abbreviated $d_{i,n}$, to be the number of imbeddings of $J_n$ in $S_i$ such that the two occurrences of the right-end edge lie on distinct faces, and we define $s_i(J_n)$, abbreviated $s_{i,n}$, to be the number of imbeddings of $J_n$ in $S_i$ such that the two occurrences of the right-end edge both lie on the same face. Obviously, we have the equation

$$g_i(J_n) = d_i(J_n) + s_i(J_n)$$

(16)

For each cobblestone path $J_n$, we may form vectors

$$(d_0(J_n), d_1(J_n), \ldots) \quad \text{and} \quad (s_0(J_n), s_1(J_n), \ldots).$$

The basis step for the recursion is the following observation

$$(d_{0,1}, d_{1,1}, d_{2,1}, \ldots) = (4, 0, 0, \ldots)$$

(17)

$$(s_{0,1}, s_{1,1}, s_{2,1}, \ldots) = (0, 2, 0, \ldots)$$

(18)

In constructing the cobblestone path $J_n$ from its predecessor $J_{n-1}$, we are adding a new vertex of valence 4. Since $(4 - 1)! = 6$, it follows that $J_n$ has six times as many imbeddings as $J_{n-1}$. In fact, the cobblestone path $J_n$ has $6^n$ imbeddings, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$

Our viewpoint is that each individual imbedding of $J_{n-1}$ gives rise to six imbeddings of $J_n$, which occurs by way of the intermediate graph $J_{n-1}^+$. By $J_{n-1}^+$ we mean the result of inserting a new vertex at the midpoint of this right-end loop of the cobblestone path $J_{n-1}$. The six dashed arcs in Figure 3.2 illustrate the six ways the new right-end loop for $J_n$ can be attached at the new vertex of $J_{n-1}$.

Now consider any imbedding into the surface $S_i$ of the cobblestone path $J_{n-1}$. If both occurrences of the right-end loop of $J_{n-1}$ are on the same face,
then every one of the six ways of attaching a new right-end loop can be realized in the surface $S_i$, that is, without attaching an extra handle to $S_i$. Obviously, the two occurrences of the new right-end loop appear on different faces of the resulting imbedding of $J_n$. However, if the two occurrences of the right-end loop of $J_{n-1}$ are on different faces of its imbedding in $S_i$, then only the four monogon-generating insertions of the new loop are in $S_i$. The two insertions in which the new right-end loops runs from one face to another require the addition of a handle from one face to the other. In this case, both occurrences of the new right-end loop lie on the same face of the new imbedding. Thus, we have established the simultaneous recursion formulae

\[ d_i(J_n) = 4d_i(J_{n-1}) + 6s_i(J_{n-1}) \]  
\[ s_i(J_n) = 2d_{i-1}(J_{n-1}) \]  

(19)  
(20)
The solution of the recurrence begins with a substitution of 
$2d_{i-1}(J_n - 2)$ for $s_i(J_{n-1})$ into equation 20 which yields the simplified re-
currence relation

$$d_i(J_n) = 4d_i(J_{n-1}) + 12d_{i-1}(J_{n-2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

By reversing the recursion, we may calculate values

$$d_0(J_0) = 1 \text{ and } d_1(J_0) = d_2(J_0) = \cdots = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

This artifice enables us to define

$$D_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i(J_n)x^n$$

in preparation for an infinite summation on equation 21, as follows.

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{i,n}x^n = 4\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{i,n-1}x^n + 12\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{i-1,n-2}x^n$$

$$= 4x\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{i,n-1}x^{n-1} + 12x^2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{i-1,n-2}x^{n-2}$$

Therefore,

$$D_i(x) - d_{i,1}x - d_{i,0} = 4x(D_i(x) - d_{i,0}) + 12x^2D_{i-1}(x)$$

and, consequently

$$D_i(x)(1 - 4x) = 12x^2D_{i-1}(x) = d_{i,1}x + d_{i,0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

From equations 17 and 22, we know that $d_{i,1} = 0$ and $d_{i,0} = 0$, for all $i \geq 1$. Thus we may simplify equation 23 to the linear recursion

$$D_i(x) = \frac{12x^2}{(1 - 4x)}D_{i-1}(x), \text{ for } i \geq 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

We will now proceed to establish the value of the polynomial $D_0(x)$. From the Jordan curve theorem, we know that $s_{0,n} = 0$, for $n \geq 1$. Accordingly, we may conclude from equation 19 that

$$d_0(J_n) = 4d_0(J_{n-1})$$
Since \( d_{0,0} = 1 \), we infer that
\[
D_0(x) = \frac{1}{1 - 4x}
\]  
(25)

We easily combine equations 24 and 25, to obtain the result
\[
D_i(x) = \frac{12x^2}{(1 - 4x)^{i+1}}
\]  
(26)

The coefficient of \( x^r \) in the power series expansion of \( (1 - ax)^{-s} \) is
\[
\binom{r + s - 1}{r} a^r
\]

(For instance, see Tucker [1980, p. 84] or Liu [1968, p. 31].) It follows that the coefficient of \( x^{n-2i} \) in the power series expansion of \( (1 - 4x)^{-(i+1)} \) is
\[
\binom{(n - 2i) + (i + 1) - 1}{n - 2i} 4^{n-2i} = \binom{n - i}{n - 2i} 4^{n-2i}
\]

Thus, the coefficient of \( x^n \) in the power series expansion of \( D_i(x) \) is
\[
12^i \cdot 4^{n-2i} \cdot \binom{n - i}{n - 2i} = 3^i \cdot 4^{n-i} \cdot \binom{n - i}{n - 2i} = 3^i \cdot 4^{n-i} \cdot \binom{n - i}{i}
\]

Thus,
\[
d_i(J_n) = 3^i \cdot 4^{n-i} \cdot \binom{n - i}{i}, \text{ for } i \geq 0 \text{ and } n \geq 0
\]
(27)

We now recall equation 20
\[
s_i(J_n) = 2d_{i-1}(J_{n-1}), \text{ for } i \geq 1 \text{ and } n \geq 1
\]
and infer that
\[
s_i(J_n) = 2 \cdot 3^{i-1} \cdot 4^{n-i} \cdot \binom{n - i}{i-1}
\]
(28)

Therefore, from equation 16, we conclude
\[
g_i(J_n) = 3^i \cdot 4^{n-i} \cdot \binom{n - i}{i} + 2 \cdot 3^{i-1} \cdot 4^{n-i} \cdot \binom{n - i}{i-1}, \text{ for } i \geq 0 \text{ and } n \geq 1
\]
(29)

The following table contains some of the small values.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$g_0$</th>
<th>$g_1$</th>
<th>$g_2$</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$J_1$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_2$</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_3$</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_4$</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>1296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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